

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 D.S., a minor by and through his  
13 guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta,  
14 individually and as successor-in-interest  
15 to William Salgado; C.S., a minor by  
16 and through his guardian ad litem Elsa  
17 Acosta, individually and as successor-  
18 in-interest to William Salgado; J.S., a  
19 minor by and through her guardian ad  
20 litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as  
21 successor-in-interest to William  
22 Salgado; M.S., a minor by and through  
23 her guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta,  
24 individually and as successor-in-interest  
25 to William Salgado,

26  
27  
28  
Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;  
NICK NICHOLS; RENE REZA;  
MATTHEW RINCON; APRIL  
WHEELER; and DOES 5 through 10,  
inclusive,

**Case No. 2:23-cv-09412-CBM-AGR**  
**(Consolidated with Case No. 2:24-cv-**  
**04898-CBM-AGR)**

*District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall*  
*Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg*

**ORDER RE: STIPULATION AND**  
**JOINT REQUEST FOR ORDER**  
**MODIFYING SCHEDULING**  
**ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE**  
**TO CONDUCT SETTLEMENT**  
**CONFERENCE ONLY**

Defendants.

WILLIAM OMAR CASTILLO  
MIRANDA, an individual and as  
Successor in Interest for Decedent,  
WILLIAM RENE SALGADO  
MIRANDA; JUANA MARIA  
MIRANDA, an individual and as  
Successor in Interest for Decedent,  
WILLIAM RENE SALGADO  
MIRANDA; OSMAR ANTONIO  
CASTILLO BLANDON, a minor by  
and through Guardian ad litem,  
EUGENIA GUADELUPE ESPINOZA  
SALMERON; EUGENIA  
GUADELUPE ESPINOZA  
SALMERON, an individual; KARLA  
VANESSA BLANDON, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;  
RENE REZA, an individual; APRIL  
WHEELER, an individual; MATTHEW  
RINCON, an individual; NICK  
NICHOLS, an individual; JOSE A.  
YAMASAKI, an individual; SAUL  
RODRIGUEZ, an individual; and  
DOES 1 TO 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, and pursuant to the Court's inherent and statutory authority, including but not limited to the Court's authority under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the United States District Court, Central District of California Local Rules; after due consideration of all of the relevant pleadings, papers, and records in this action; and upon such other evidence or argument as was presented to the Court; Good Cause appearing therefor, and in furtherance of the interests of justice,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


1. The Court hereby orders that the operative scheduling order deadline to conduct a settlement conference in this case [Dkt. 41] is continued as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	NEW DEADLINE
Deadline to Complete Settlement Conference	03/01/2025	04/11/2025

2. Except as specified herein above, all other dates and deadlines shall remain unchanged and unaffected by the parties' stipulation and by this Order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: February 11, 2025

  
CONSUELO B. MARSHALL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE